1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender REBECCA SULLIVAN SILBERT Assistant Federal Public Defender 555 - 12th Street, Suite 650 Oakland, CA 94607-3627 Telephone: (510) 637-3500 Email: Rebecca_Silbert@fd.org Counsel for Defendant GLOVER IN THE UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CR 00-40037 DLJ	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING SENTENCE REDUCTION UNDER	
13	vs. ROBERT GLOVER,) U.S.S.G. AMENDMENT 706 (AS) AMENDED BY 711)	
14	Defendant.	and ORDER	
15	Defendant.)	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties acting through their respective counsel, that: The Court has indicated that it is making its own motion for a modification of the defendant's sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). Defendant's original guideline calculation for count one, possession of crack cocaine with intent to distribute, was as follows: Total Offense Level: 29 Criminal History Category: IV Guideline Range: 121-151 		
	Stip and Proposed Order Reduction Sentence	1	

- 1 Mandatory Minimum: 60 months 2 3. Defendant was sentenced to 121 months imprisonment on count one on September 19, 3 2000. On that same date, defendant was sentenced to 120 months on count three, 4 possession of a firearm by a felon. The two terms were to run concurrent. 5 4. Defendant's current projected release date is April 24, 2009. 6 5. Defendant is eligible for a modification of his sentence on count one pursuant to 18 7 U.S.C. § 3582(c), USSG § 1B1.10(c), and Amendment 706 of the United States 8 Sentencing Commission Guidelines Manual. 9 6. Defendant's revised guideline calculation for count one is as follows: 10 Total Offense Level: 27 11 Criminal History Category: IV 12 Guideline Range: 100-125 13 7. The parties have no reason to dispute the Reduction of Sentence Report submitted to the 14 Court by the probation office. 15 8. Based upon the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate that a sentence of 100 months on 16 count one is appropriate in this matter. The 120 month sentence for count three shall 17 remain the same. 18 9. The parties further stipulate that all other aspects of the original judgment order including 19 the length of term of supervised release, all conditions of supervision, fines, restitution, 20 and special assessment remain as previously imposed. 21 10. Defendant stipulates that he waives and does not request a hearing in this matter pursuant 22 to Fed. R. Crim. P. 43, 18 U.S.C § 3582(c)(2); United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 23 (2005) and Kimbrough v. United States, 128 S.Ct. 558 (2007); United States v. Hicks, 24 472 F.3d 1167 (9th Cir. 2007).
- 25 | 11. Defendant waives his right to appeal the district court's sentence.
 - 12. Accordingly, the parties agree and stipulate that an amended judgement may be entered

26

1	by the Court in accordance with this stipulation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) and		
2	USSG § 1B1.10(c), Amendment 706 of the United States Sentencing Commission		
3		Guidelines Manual.	
4			
5	IT IS S	SO STIPULATED:	
6			/S/
7	Date:	November 6, 2008	D. 1
8			Rebecca Sullivan Silbert Counsel for Robert Glover
9			
0	Date:	November 6, 2008	/S/
1			Jonathan Schmidt Assistant United States Attorney
2			
3	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this e-filed document.		
4			
.5			ORDER
6			
7	Based on the above stipulation, the Court hereby ORDERS the following: 1. The Court is making its own motion for a modification of the defendant's sentence		·
8			
9	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2); 2. The original Judgment in the above-captioned case is AMENDED to impose a term of imprisonment of 100 months on count one only. All other aspects of the original		
20			
21			
22	judgment, including the length of term of supervised release, all conditions of		
23	supervision, fines, restitution, and special assessment remain as previously imposed.		
24		The originally imposed 120 month	sentence on count three shall remain the same, and
25	shall run concurrent with count one, as originally ordered.		
26	3.	Defendant's original guideline calc	culation on count one was as follows:
~			
	Ī		

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

Date: November 10, 2008

22

21

23

24

25

26

Honorable D. Lowell Jensen

Judge, United States District Court